Daniel and Kathleen Tonnemacher Box 1509 Ronald, WA 98940 dantonnemacher@gmail.com

1---



THE SUPREME COURT-STATE OF WASHINGTON

Kathleen and Daniel Tonnemacher

vulnerable adult/petitioner

VS.

Jereme Ossman, et al

defendant

Case: Supreme Court: 96085-2

Court of Appeals: 35371-1-III

Motions per Law

Per the RCW 74.34.310, Kathleen and Daniel Tonnemacher are by legal Petitioners do request the following corrections to this petition:

1. Petitioners again request that the court either provide an attorney so that petitioners can have a fair trial. Or rule that Ossman must pay for an attorney for Kathleen and Daniel with the money she holds in trust for Kathleen's benefit. Petitioner wishes to remind the court that there is no pro bono attorney in the State of Washington who will take this case. There may not be an attorney that will take this case, even if paid. But the court knows why....? It is in the court's best interest to provide at least legal counsel for the "due process" required by law. The above reference code **does** allow a "pass" for a vulnerable adult who is also a victim of grand theft, a felony. This is an appeal able action which would cause this case to move ahead to the 9th Distirct, Court of Appeals.

2. If this case does move ahead per US and Federal Law, then the petitioner wants the case to be heard in open court, by a jury of piers (over 60 year olds) for all the US to hear and the purposes of case law. Per above, petitioner has the right to "due process." The petitioner does feel that the due process directed by the court clerk is not lawful. Of course, the clerk is forbidden to give legal advise....

3. It is the courts dueing that has taken this criminal acts to an appeals court. Of course, your choice is to send these civil claims back to the lower court to be heard per the law. The fact remains that your legal system has failed Kathleen and Daniel and you are trying to cover this up. We have the right of law to have this felony claim heard in open court with a jury of our choosing. We do not wish an "En Banc Court to hear this case. The PA has refused to process this claim and has broken the law in doing so. So has the AG's office. Smell a Rat?

4. Please follow "your laws" and provide an attorney which will protect the civil rights of petitioners and allow a fair trial, one without appeal-able issues.

FILED AS ATTACHMENT TO EMAIL 5. The court should hear an "amicus curiae memorandum". Apparently, this is the law. But you are aware that Ossman (and others) have stolen all of petitioner's money and therefore such requirement of law will be denied petitioners for lack of funds. That, however, is against the law. It is the court's job (by law) to provide a "fair" trial to all. A lower court has will rule for a 90 day protection of petitioner's money as Kathleen is being calculatingly **put to death** at the hads of Ossman and others. There is little doubt that this court will likely see an appeal before them regarding this new felony charge. This time, your court will be forced to give the benefit to Kathleen, the vulnerable adult.

Please send me, by email, the court's response to this Motion. If this appeal is denied, we will just see the State of Washington and the State of Idaho in 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. Again.

Submitted by return email to Erin Lennon, Court Clerk, on 9-1-18.

Daniel and Kathleen Tonnemacher

G

í.

OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

From:	Daniel Tonnemacher <dantonnemacher@gmail.com></dantonnemacher@gmail.com>
Sent:	Saturday, September 1, 2018 8:15 AM
То:	OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Subject:	Re: 96085-2 - Kathleen Tonnemacher, et al. v. Jeremi Ossman
Attachments:	Supreme Court Petition for Reviewdocx
Categories:	Susan/Erin

Please present to file. A copy was sent by USPO. Please do the "right thing."

Dan

On Wed, Aug 29, 2018 at 2:04 PM OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <<u>SUPREME@courts.wa.gov</u>> wrote:

Counsel:

Attached is a copy of the letter issued by the Clerk or Deputy Clerk on this date in the above referenced case. Please consider this as the original for your files, a copy will not be sent by regular mail. Any documents filed with this Court should be submitted via our web portal: <u>https://ac.courts.wa.gov/</u>

Receptionist

Supreme Pourt Olerk's Office

360-357-2077